

GENERALIZED MANIFOLDS IN PRODUCTS OF CURVES

AKIRA KOYAMA, JÓZEF KRASINKIEWICZ, AND STANISŁAW SPIEŻ

ABSTRACT. The intent of this article is to study some special n -dimensional continua lying in products of n curves. (The paper may be viewed as a sequel to [K-K-S 1]; majority of its content is an improved version of a portion of [K-K-S].) We show that if X is a locally connected, so-called, quasi n -manifold lying in a product of n curves then $\text{rank } H^1(X) \geq n$. Moreover, if $\text{rank } H^1(X) < 2n$ then X can be represented as a product of an m -torus and a quasi $(n - m)$ -manifold, where $m \geq 2n - \text{rank } H^1(X)$. It follows that certain 2-dimensional contractible polyhedra are not embeddable in products of two curves. On the other hand, we show that any collapsible 2-dimensional polyhedron can be embedded in a product of two trees. We answer a question of R. Cauty proving that closed surfaces embeddable in products of two curves can be also embedded in products of two graphs. On the other hand, we construct an example of a 2-dimensional polyhedron which can be embedded in a product of two curves though it is not embeddable in any product of two graphs. This solves in the negative another problem of Cauty. Some open problems have been included.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54E45, 55M10, 55U25, 57Q05.

Key words and phrases. Embeddings, locally connected, quasi-manifolds, products of curves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

2. Generalized manifolds

2A. <i>Definitions and basic properties of certain generalized manifolds</i>	6
2B. <i>On locally connected quasi manifolds. From embeddings into products of curves to embeddings into products of graphs</i>	10
2C. <i>Ramified manifolds in products of graphs</i>	14
2D. <i>Product structure of generalized manifolds lying in products of curves</i>	17
2E. <i>Contractible 2-dimensional polyhedra in products of two graphs</i>	20
2F. <i>On embeddings of 2-dimensional polyhedra into products of two graphs – a solution of Cauty’s problem</i>	23

3. Problems

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we use the following standard conventions, terminology and notation. All *spaces* under discussion are assumed to be metrizable and all *mappings* (also called *maps*) – continuous. By a *compactum* we mean a compact (metric) space, by a *continuum* we mean a connected compactum, and by a *curve* we mean a 1-dimensional continuum. A continuum X is said to be *unicoherent* if for every presentation of X as a union of two continua the intersection of these continua is connected. We write $X \approx Y$ to indicate that X is homeomorphic to Y .

By $\mathbb{B}^n$, $n \geq 1$, we denote the closed unit n -ball in the Euclidean n -space $\mathbb{R}^n$. A space homeomorphic to $\mathbb{B}^n$ is called a (closed) n -disc. Sometimes 2-discs will be simply called *discs*. By $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we denote the unit n -sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ – the boundary of $\mathbb{B}^n$. A space homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^n$ is called a *topological n -sphere*; a space homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$ is called a (*topological*) *circle* (or a *simple closed curve*). A space homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times I$, where $I = [0, 1]$ stands for the unit interval in $\mathbb{R}$, is called a (*topological*) *cylinder*. A space homeomorphic to the open unit n -ball $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}^n = \mathbb{B}^n \setminus \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is called an *open n -disc*. As usual, by the n -torus $\mathbb{T}^n$, $n \geq 1$, we mean the n -fold product $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^1$. In particular, $\mathbb{T}^1 = \mathbb{S}^1$. By $\mathbb{T}^0$ we mean a one-point space. A space homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n$ is called a *topological n -torus*.

By a *polyhedron* we mean the underlying space $|K|$ of a finite regular *CW* complex K . Recall that a *CW* complex K is said to be *regular* if each cell $\sigma \in K$ admits a characteristic map $\varphi_\sigma : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \sigma$, where $n = \dim \sigma$, which is a homeomorphism. Any *simplicial complex* K is regular, such K is called a *triangulation* of $|K|$. By a *graph* we mean a 1-dimensional polyhedron, and by a *tree* we mean a connected graph containing no simple closed curve. By a *dendrite* we mean a non-degenerate locally connected continuum containing no simple closed curve. A non-degenerate continuum is said to be a *local dendrite* (*local tree*, respectively) if every point has a closed neighborhood which is a dendrite (tree, respectively). It is known that dendrites coincide with 1-dimensional compact absolute retracts, and local dendrites – with 1-dimensional compact absolute neighborhood retracts (cf. [Kur]).

In 1958 J. Nagata [N1] discovered the following remarkable theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Nagata). *Every n -dimensional space, $n \geq 2$, can be embedded in the topological product $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{n+1}$ of 1-dimensional spaces. $\square$*

Nagata also asked whether n 1-dimensional spaces would suffice [N2, p.163]. This question and the theorem itself gave rise to interesting investigations. The question itself was answered in the negative by K. Borsuk in 1975 [Bo3]. Actually, Borsuk proved the following interesting result.

Theorem 1.2 (Borsuk). *The n -sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is not embeddable in any product of n curves. $\square$*

In our paper we shall see that some 2-dimensional contractible (so, acyclic) polyhedra have this property as well.

An n -dimensional space, $n \geq 2$, is said to be *ordinary* if it can be embedded in a product of n 1-dimensional spaces; otherwise we call it *exceptional*. Hence

$\mathbb{S}^2$ and some other spaces are exceptional. Any 1-dimensional compactum can be embedded in the Menger curve μ . It follows that an n -dimensional compactum is ordinary if and only if it can be embedded in the n -fold product μ^n .

All *manifolds* discussed in this paper are assumed to be compact and connected (possibly with non-empty boundary), unless opposite is explicitly stated. 2-manifolds are often called *surfaces*. The interior of M will be often denoted by $\overset{\circ}{M}$. A manifold M is *closed* if its boundary is empty, $\partial M = \emptyset$. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow M$, where M is a closed manifold, is said to be *essential* if every mapping $g : X \rightarrow M$ homotopic to f is surjective.

The symbol $H^*(\cdot; G)$ is used to denote the Čech cohomology functor with coefficients in an Abelian group G . In some cases where no confusion is likely to occur we shall write f^* instead of $H^*(f; G)$, where f is a mapping. The cohomology functor $H^*(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ with integer coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$ will be abbreviated to $H^*(\cdot)$. Thus the groups $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and the homomorphisms $H^*(f, \mathbb{Z})$ will be written briefly $H^*(X)$ and $H^*(f)$, respectively. By the Hopf Classification Theorem, cf. [Sp, p.431], for any n -dimensional space X , the group $H^n(X)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of homotopy classes of maps $X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. Non-zero elements correspond to homotopy classes of essential maps. As usual, $H_*(X)$ denotes the singular homology functor with integer coefficients.

Let $g_1, \dots, g_k$ be elements of an Abelian group G . They are said to be *linearly independent* (over $\mathbb{Z}$) if the equality $n_1 g_1 + \dots + n_k g_k = 0$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, implies $n_1 = \dots = n_k = 0$. By the *rank* of G , denoted $\text{rank } G$, we mean the maximal number of linearly independent elements in G (over $\mathbb{Z}$). We write $G \cong H$ to denote that the groups are isomorphic.

A point $x \in X$ is said to be of *order* n if n is the minimal number such that x admits arbitrarily small open neighborhoods with boundaries containing at most n points. Points of order 1 are called *endpoints* of the space.

Now we present a brief summary of the main results of our paper. An n -dimensional continuum X , $n \geq 1$, is said to be a *quasi n -manifold* if for every point $x \in X$ there is an open neighborhood V of x such that every closed subset of X which separates X between x and $X \setminus V$ and has dimension $\leq n - 1$ admits an essential map into $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ (see Section 2A for details). This and some other classes of n -dimensional continua have been defined in Chapter 2. Each class comprises all n -manifolds, and the following holds.

Theorem 1.3 (cf. Theorem 2B.1). *Let X be a locally connected quasi n -manifold, $n \geq 2$, with $H^1(X)$ of finite rank. If X embeds in a product of n curves then there exists an embedding $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : X \rightarrow P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ such that*

- (1) *each P_i is a graph with no endpoint (hence $\text{rank } H^1(P_i) \geq 1$);*
- (2) *each g_i is a monotone surjection (hence $\text{rank } H^1(P_i) \leq \text{rank } H^1(X)$). $\square$*

Corollary 1.4 (see Corollary 2B.3). *If a closed n -manifold is embeddable in a product of n curves then it is also embeddable in a product of n graphs. $\square$*

It follows that *if a closed surface can be embedded in a product of two curves then*

it can be also embedded in a product of two graphs. This answers a question posed by Cauty in [C]. It turns out that other analogous question explicitly formulated in that paper - where the word "surface" is replaced by "2-dimensional polyhedron" - has negative answer. Actually, we shall construct the following example.

Theorem 1.5 (cf. Theorem 2F.6). *There exists a 2-dimensional polyhedron which can be embedded in a product of two curves though it is not embeddable in any product of two graphs.* $\square$

We shall also prove a stronger version of the following

Theorem 1.6 (cf. Theorem 2D.6). *Let X be a locally connected quasi n -manifold lying in a product of n curves. Then $\text{rank } H^1(X) \geq n$.* $\square$

A 1-cell of a 2-dimensional regular CW complex K is said to be *free* in $|K|$ if it is incident with exactly one 2-cell of K .

Corollary 1.7. *No contractible 2-dimensional polyhedron $|K|$ without free edges can be embedded in a product of two curves.* $\square$

There are two well known polyhedra satisfying the hypotheses of this corollary: the Borsuk example [Bo1] (which occurs in [Z] under the name "dunce hat"), and the "Bing house", cf. [R-S]. Hence neither can be embedded in any product of two curves. We shall prove also other results on 2-dimensional polyhedra. Here are some of them.

Theorem 1.8 (cf. Theorem 2E.1). *Let X be a 2-dimensional connected polyhedron. If X can be embedded in a product of two curves and $\text{rank } H^1(X) \leq 2$, then X collapses to either a point, or a graph, or a torus. In particular, X is collapsible if $\text{rank } H^1(X) = 0$.* $\square$

Theorem 1.9 (cf. Theorem 2E.3). *Let X be a 2-dimensional polyhedron. If X is collapsible then X can be embedded in a product of two trees.* $\square$

Theorem 1.10 (see Corollary 2E.7). *The cone over an n -dimensional polyhedron can be embedded in a product of $n + 1$ copies of an m -od.* $\square$

By an m -od we mean the cone over an m -element set.

2. GENERALIZED MANIFOLDS

This chapter splits into sections 2A-2E. In section 2A we define some broad classes of continua each comprising all closed manifolds of corresponding dimension. We name them *quasi-manifolds*, *pseudo-manifolds*, *para-manifolds*, and *ramified-manifolds* and present some basic properties of these classes. In Theorem 2B.1 we prove that embeddings of locally connected quasi n -manifolds in products of n curves can be factored through some special embeddings in products of n graphs which all are quasi 1-manifolds. Then we construct a closed surface lying in a product of two curves whose image under either projection is not a graph (Example 2B.2). Theorem 2B.1 has noteworthy consequences. For example, it follows that

no locally connected and unicoherent quasi n -manifold can be embedded in any product of n curves. In section 2C we present a list of basic properties of ramified n -manifolds lying in products of n graphs. To obtain these properties we carefully study the "fibers" of the projections restricted to the ramified manifolds. In section 2D we prove the fundamental Theorem 2D.6 on algebraic and product structures of locally connected quasi n -manifolds lying in products of n curves. In particular, it implies that there exist contractible 2-dimensional polyhedra not embeddable in any product of two curves. Thus we reveal acyclic polyhedra which share the property of $\mathbb{S}^2$ from the Borsuk Theorem 1.1. In section 2E we prove that any 2-dimensional collapsible polyhedron (in particular, any cone over a graph) can be embedded in a product of two trees. In section 2F we construct a 2-dimensional polyhedron embeddable in a product of two curves which is not embeddable in any product of two graphs.

2A. Definitions and general properties of certain generalized manifolds

Let K be a CW complex. Then the open cells of K (that is, the interiors $\mathring{\sigma}$ of the cells $\sigma \in K$) form a *partition* of $|K|$, i.e. they are mutually disjoint and cover $|K|$. It follows from the definition of a CW complex that for each skeleton $K^{(n)}$ the space $|K^{(n)}|$ is the union of open cells with dimension $\leq n$. If $\dim \sigma = n$ then $\partial\sigma \subset |K^{(n-1)}|$ and $\mathring{\sigma} \cap |K^{(n-1)}| = \emptyset$. A cell $\sigma \in K$ is said to be *proper* if it is a union of open cells of K . If each cell of K is proper then K is said to *have proper cells*. Two cells $\sigma, \tau \in K$ are said to be *incident* if one is a subset of the other; if $\sigma \subset \tau$ then σ is called a *face* of τ .

Proposition 2A.1. *A CW complex K has proper cells if and only if for each two cells $\sigma, \tau \in K$ the condition $\mathring{\sigma} \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$ implies $\sigma \subset \tau$ (that is, σ is a face of τ). $\square$*

Corollary 2A.2. *If $K_1, \dots, K_n$ are CW complexes with proper cells then $K_1 \square \dots \square K_n$ has proper cells as well. $\square$*

Notice that there exist finite CW complexes with proper cells which are not regular. (The standard CW structure on $\mathbb{S}^1$ has this property.) However, the converse holds:

Lemma 2A.3. *Any regular CW complex K has proper cells.*

Proof. We must show that any cell $\tau \in K$ is a union of open cells. By induction we may assume that this holds for all cells with dimension $< n+1 = \dim \tau$, $n \geq 0$. Note that $\partial\tau$ is a subset of the union of the n -cells $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ of K such that $\mathring{\sigma}_i \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$. It remains to show that $\sigma_i \subset \tau$ for each i . To this end, fix i . As $\partial\tau \cap \mathring{\sigma}_i$ is a non-void closed subset of $\mathring{\sigma}_i$, to get the conclusion, it is enough to prove that $\partial\tau \cap \mathring{\sigma}_i$ is open in $\mathring{\sigma}_i$. Since $\partial\tau$ is an n -sphere in $|K^{(n)}|$ and $\mathring{\sigma}_i$ is open in $|K^{(n)}|$, for each point $x \in \partial\tau \cap \mathring{\sigma}_i$ there is an open n -disc containing x and wholly lying in this intersection. By the Brouwer Domain Invariance theorem such disc is a neighborhood of x in $\mathring{\sigma}_i$. Thus $\partial\tau \cap \mathring{\sigma}_i$ is open in $\mathring{\sigma}_i$, which completes the proof. $\square$

From the classic Borsuk Separation Theorem relating closed sets separating $\mathbb{S}^n$ to their essential mappings into $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ (cf. [E-S, p. 302]) we infer the following fact.

Lemma 2A.4. *For any n -manifold M and a point $x_0 \in M$ there is an open neighborhood V of x_0 in M such that every closed subset F of M separating M between x_0 and $M \setminus V$ admits an essential map into $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. (In fact, this holds for every neighborhood V which is an open n -disc.) $\square$*

The revealed property can be used to define, for each natural $n \geq 1$, a new class of n -dimensional continua (comprising in particular all connected closed n -manifolds) playing an important role in our investigations. Namely, an n -dimensional continuum X is said to be a *quasi n -manifold at a point $x \in X$* if there is an open neighborhood V of x in X such that every closed subset F of X with $\dim F \leq n - 1$ separating X between x and $X \setminus V$ admits an essential map into $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. (Recall that a closed set $F \subset X$ is said to *separate X between subsets A and B* if there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $X \setminus F = U \cup V$, $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.) Notice that X is a quasi 1-manifold at $x \in X$ if and only if x is not an endpoint of X . (A point of a space is said to be its *endpoint* if that point admits arbitrarily small open neighborhoods whose boundaries are one-point sets.) If X is a quasi n -manifold at x then every neighborhood of x is n -dimensional. If V is as in the first definition then any other open neighborhood W of x contained in V has the separation property as well. Also note that if a closed set $F \subset V$ separates $\overline{V}$ between x and ∂V then F separates X between x and $X \setminus V$. If X is a quasi n -manifold at every point of X then it is called a *quasi n -manifold*. Notice that an n -dimensional continuum which is a union of quasi n -manifolds is a quasi n -manifold as well.

An n -dimensional continuum X is said to be a *para n -manifold* if each point of X belongs to an open n -disc lying in X (not necessarily open in X). In other words, X is a union of open n -discs.

If X is an n -dimensional continuum and a point $x \in X$ is an element of an open n -disc lying in X then X is a quasi n -manifold at x . (This follows from the observation that if E is an open disc in X then E is open in $\overline{E}$.) Hence any para n -manifold is a quasi n -manifold. This simple criterion can be used to detect many interesting quasi n -manifolds which are not n -manifolds. For instance, both the “Bing house” and the “dunce hat” are para 2-manifolds, so they are also quasi 2-manifolds. These examples are widely known primarily for being 2-dimensional contractible and not collapsible polyhedra.

And it is convenient to introduce other generalizations of n -manifolds. First, for an n -dimensional continuum X define the following subsets:

$$M_n(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ is an element of an open } n\text{-cell lying in } X \text{ and open in } X\};$$

$$P_n(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ is an element of an open } n\text{-cell lying in } X\}.$$

Thus $M_n(X) = X$ if and only if X is a closed n -manifold, and $P_n(X) = X$ if and only if X is a para n -manifold. The set $M_n(X)$ is a maximal n -manifold with empty boundary lying in X as an open subset, we call it the *n -manifold part of X* .

Now we define the generalizations. An n -dimensional continuum X is said to be a *pseudo n -manifold* (*ramified n -manifold*, respectively) if $M_n(X)$ ($P_n(X)$, respectively) is dense in X and $\dim[X \setminus M_n(X)] \leq n - 2$ ($\dim[X \setminus P_n(X)] \leq n - 2$, respectively). If, in addition, $M_n(X)$ ($P_n(X)$, respectively) is connected then X is said to be a *simple pseudo n -manifold* (*simple ramified n -manifold*, respectively). Clearly, every pseudo n -manifold is a ramified n -manifold.

Let K be a regular CW complex, and let $n \geq 1$. The set of n -cells of K is said to be *chain connected* if every two n -cells of K can be joined by a finite sequence of n -cells of K such that every two successive cells meet along an $(n - 1)$ -cell (i.e. both are incident with such a cell). If each $(n - 1)$ -cell of K is a face of an n -cell, the above property holds if and only if the space $|K^{(n)}| \setminus |K^{(n-2)}|$ is connected.

(In the literature, the term *pseudo n -manifold* is often used to mean a polyhedron which is a simple pseudo n -manifold, see Proposition 2A.5(i) below.)

Proposition 2A.5. *Let K be an n -dimensional finite regular CW complex. Then*

- (i) *$|K|$ is a pseudo n -manifold (simple pseudo n -manifold, respectively) if and only if each cell of K is a face of an n -cell, each $(n - 1)$ -cell of K is incident with exactly two n -cells (and the set of n -cells of K is chain connected, respectively);*
- (ii) *$|K|$ is a ramified n -manifold (simple ramified n -manifold, respectively) if and only if each cell of K is a face of an n -cell, each $(n - 1)$ -cell of K is incident with at least two n -cells (and the set of n -cells of K is chain connected, respectively);*
- (iii) *If $|K|$ is a quasi n -manifold then $|K|$ is a ramified n -manifold. $\square$*

Corollary 2A.6. *Let K be an n -dimensional finite regular CW complex. If $|K|$ is a simple pseudo n -manifold and L is a subcomplex of K such that $|L|$ is a ramified n -manifold then $L = K$. In particular, the conclusion holds if $|K|$ is an n -manifold. $\square$*

Our main observation in this section is Theorem 2A.10 below which describes a basic property of quasi n -manifolds and ramified n -manifolds lying in n -dimensional polyhedra. In its proof Lemma 2A.8 is needed. In the proof of Lemma 2A.8 we need in turn Lemma 2A.7 below which is relatively simple but not trivial. Lemma 2A.7 is certainly known to many topologists, and can be proved using various arguments. We supply possibly the shortest one.

Lemma 2A.7. *No proper closed subset of $\mathbb{S}^n$ admits an essential map into $\mathbb{S}^n$.*

Proof. We may assume that $n \geq 1$. Consider a proper closed subset F of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Since $\mathbb{S}^n$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the set F can be regarded as a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then note that $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus F$ is connected. So, by the Borsuk Separation Theorem [E-S, p. 302], F admits no essential map into $\mathbb{S}^n$. $\square$

Lemma 2A.8. (a) *Let X be a quasi n -manifold at a point x . If U is a neighborhood of x in X and $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an embedding, then $h(U)$ is a neighborhood of $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

(b) Let X be a ramified n -manifold and let U be a non-void open subset of X . If $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an embedding such that $h(U)$ is closed, then $h(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof of (a). Suppose, to the contrary, that $h(x) \in \partial h(U)$. Let V be an open neighborhood of x in X with $\bar{V} \subset U$ such that any closed subset F of X separating X between x and $X \setminus V$ admits an essential map into $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Since $h(U \setminus V)$ is a closed subset of $h(U)$ not containing $h(x)$ there is an open ball $B(h(x), \varepsilon_0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $B(h(x), \varepsilon_0) \cap h(U \setminus V) = \emptyset$. Also, there is a sphere $S = \partial B(h(x), \varepsilon)$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, such that $S \not\subset h(U)$. Since S separates $\mathbb{R}^n$ between x and $h(U \setminus V)$, the intersection $E = S \cap h(U)$ separates $h(U)$ between $h(x)$ and $h(U \setminus V)$. Note that E is a proper subset of S . As $E = S \cap h(V) = S \cap h(\bar{V})$, the set E is a compact subset of $h(V)$ which separates $h(\bar{V})$ between $h(x)$ and $h(\partial V)$. It follows that $F = h^{-1}(E)$ is a compact subset of V which separates $\bar{V}$ between x and ∂V . Hence $F(\subset V)$ is a closed subset of X which separates X between x and $X \setminus V$. By our choice of V , there is an essential map $F \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. But $F(\approx E)$ is homeomorphic to a proper closed subset of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, which contradicts Lemma 2A.7.

Proof of (b). It is enough to show that $h(U)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $V = \text{int } h(U)$. First we show that V is dense in $h(U)$. In fact, since $R(X)$ is dense in X and U is open, the image $h(R(X) \cap U)$ is dense in $h(U)$. On the other hand, $h(R(X) \cap U)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^n$, by the Brouwer Invariance of Domain Theorem. Hence $h(R(X) \cap U) \subset V$, so V is dense in $h(U)$. Therefore, $\partial V = h(U) \setminus V$. Consequently, $\partial V \subset h(U \setminus R(X))$, hence $\dim \partial V \leq n - 2$, by the definition of a ramified n -manifold. Therefore, $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial V$ is connected (cf. [E, Theorem 1.8.13, p. 77]). It follows that V is dense in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for otherwise ∂V separates $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence $h(U) = \mathbb{R}^n$, which completes the proof. $\square$

A modification of the above argument gives the following

Corollary 2A.9. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding of a ramified n -manifold into a simple pseudo n -manifold, then $f(X) = Y$. $\square$*

Theorem 2A.10. *Let X be either a quasi n -manifold or a ramified n -manifold. If $f : X \rightarrow |K|$ is an embedding, where $|K|$ is an n -dimensional polyhedron, then $f(X) = |L|$, where L is a subcomplex of K .*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $f(X)$ is a union of n -cells of K . To this end, it is enough to show that each point $y \in f(X)$ is an element of an n -cell of K which lies in $f(X)$. Notice that there is an open neighborhood V of y in $f(X)$ such that, for each cell $\sigma \in K$, the condition $V \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ implies $y \in \sigma$. It follows from our hypothesis that $\dim V = n$. Hence there is an n -cell $\sigma_0 \in K$ such that $V \cap \sigma_0 \neq \emptyset$. Hence $y \in \sigma_0$. It remains to show that $\sigma_0 \subset f(X)$. As $f(X)$ is closed, it is enough to show that $\partial \sigma_0 \subset f(X)$. Notice that $f(X) \cap \partial \sigma_0$ is a non-void closed subset of $\partial \sigma_0$. On the other hand, this set is open in $f(X)$. It follows that $U = f^{-1}(\partial \sigma_0)$ is non-void and open in X , and $f(U) = f(X) \cap \partial \sigma_0$. Since $\partial \sigma_0 \approx \mathbb{R}^n$, by Lemma 2A.8 we infer that $f(X) \cap \partial \sigma_0$ is also open in $\partial \sigma_0$, in both cases under discussion. Consequently, $f(X) \cap \partial \sigma_0 = \partial \sigma_0$. Hence $\sigma_0 \subset f(X)$, as desired. $\square$

We have already noted that any polyhedron which is a quasi n -manifold is a ramified n -manifold as well. The converse holds for $n = 1, 2$ but in general fails: the suspension of the "dunce hat" (or the "Bing house") is a polyhedron which is a ramified 3-manifold but is not quasi 3-manifold.

Let $|K|$ be a ramified n -manifold. By its *combinatorial component* we mean any maximal simple ramified n -manifold in $|K|$. One easily sees that $|K|$ is the union of its combinatorial components, and any two different combinatorial components meet in a subpolyhedron of dimension $\leq n - 2$.

A connected graph P is a ramified 1-manifold if and only if it has no endpoint. In such a case $\text{rank } H^1(P) \geq 1$, and $\text{rank } H^1(P) = 1$ if and only if P is a circle. For any $n \geq 1$ there exist only finitely many topological types of ramified 1-manifolds P with $\text{rank } H^1(P) = n$. For any connected graph P we have $H^1(P) = n$ if and only if P is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of n circles. Any graph which is a ramified 1-manifold is simple.

We have the following diagram of subclasses of all connected polyhedra:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{\text{para } n\text{-manifolds}\} & \supset & \{\text{simple para } n\text{-manifolds}\} \\
 & \cap & \\
 \{\text{quasi } n\text{-manifolds}\} & & \cap \\
 & \cap & \\
 \{\text{ramified } n\text{-manifolds}\} & \supset & \{\text{simple ramified } n\text{-manifolds}\} \\
 & \cup & \cup \\
 \{\text{pseudo } n\text{-manifolds}\} & \supset & \{\text{simple pseudo } n\text{-manifolds}\}.
 \end{array}$$

2B. On locally connected quasi manifolds.

From embeddings into products of curves to embeddings into products of graphs

Here we prove a useful theorem on factorization of embeddings of quasi manifolds into products of curves through embeddings into product of graphs.

Theorem 2B.1. *Let X be a locally connected quasi n -manifold such that $H^1(X)$ has finite rank. If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n$ is an embedding of X in the product of n curves, then there exist mappings $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : X \rightarrow P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ and $h = h_1 \times \dots \times h_n : P_1 \times \dots \times P_n \rightarrow Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n$ such that $f_i = h_i \circ g_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ (hence $f = h \circ g$), where $g_i : X \rightarrow P_i$ is a monotone surjection, P_i is a graph with no endpoint (that is, P_i is a quasi 1-manifold), and $h_i : P_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is 0-dimensional.*

In particular, if X is embeddable in a product of n curves, then there exists an embedding $(g_1, \dots, g_n) : X \rightarrow P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$, where each $g_i : X \rightarrow P_i$ is a monotone surjection, P_i is a graph with no endpoint, and $\text{rank } H^1(P_i) \leq \text{rank } H^1(X)$.

Note. It follows that if $f_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$ is monotone, then $f_i(X)$ is a graph. In fact, in this case $f_i(X) = h_i(P_i)$ and $h_i : P_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is an embedding. If f_i is not monotone then $f_i(X)$ need not be a graph, see Example 2B.3. The proof given below shows that if X is a non-degenerate connected polyhedron (or any non-degenerate locally connected continuum whose $H^1(X)$ has finite rank) then $f_i(X)$ is a local dendrite. $\square$

Proof. By the Whyburn factorization theorem, there is a factorization $f_i = h_i \circ g_i$,

$$X \xrightarrow{g_i} P_i \xrightarrow{h_i} Y_i,$$

where g_i is a monotone surjection, and h_i is 0-dimensional. Since Y_i is 1-dimensional and h_i is 0-dimensional we infer that $\dim P_i \leq 1$ (by a theorem of Hurewicz). Clearly, $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : X \rightarrow P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ is an embedding. Since $\dim X = n$, it follows that $\dim P_i > 0$ for each i . Therefore, P_i is a locally connected curve, as g_i is a surjection. Since g_i is a monotone surjection and $H^1(X)$ has finite rank, P_i is actually a local dendrite (see [Kr, Lemma 3.1]). Hence each point of P_i has a closed neighborhood which is a dendrite. First we show that

(*) P_i has no endpoint.

For suppose P_i has an endpoint z_0 . Since $g_i(X) = P_i$, there is a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $g_i(x_0) = z_0$. Since X is a quasi n -manifold at x_0 there is an open neighborhood V of x_0 in X such that

(1) any closed $(n-1)$ -dimensional subset of X separating X between x_0 and $X \setminus V$ admits an essential map to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Now we shall show that there is an open neighborhood U of $g(x_0)$ in $P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ such that

(2) $\overline{U} \cap g(X \setminus V) = \emptyset$,

(3) $\dim \partial U = n - 1$,

(4) ∂U is contractible.

To construct U we assume, without loss of generality, that $i = 1$. Then $g(x_0) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, where $y_1 = z_0$. Note that $g(V)$ is a neighborhood of $g(x_0)$ in $g(X)$, hence any small enough U satisfies (2). Since z_0 is an endpoint of P_1 , and each P_j is a local dendrite, there exist sets $U_1, \dots, U_n$, as small as we please, such that each U_j is an open and connected neighborhood of y_j in P_j with ∂U_j finite, each $\overline{U_j}$ is a dendrite, and ∂U_1 is a one-point set. Then the set $U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ has the desired properties. In fact, as U_j 's are small, U satisfies (2). Then note that

$$\partial U = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (\overline{U_1} \times \dots \times \overline{U_{j-1}} \times \partial U_j \times \overline{U_{j+1}} \times \dots \times \overline{U_n}).$$

Hence (3) follows. To prove (4), note that $(\partial U_1) \times \overline{U_2} \times \dots \times \overline{U_n}$ is a strong deformation retract of ∂U (because ∂U_1 , as a one-point set, is a strong deformation

retract of $\overline{U_1}$). Hence (4) follows from the fact that $(\partial U_1) \times \overline{U_2} \times \cdots \times \overline{U_n}$ is contractible.

Now consider the set $F = \partial_{g(X)}(U \cap g(X))$. Observe that it is a closed subset of $g(X)$ such that

- (5) $F \subset \partial U$,
- (6) F separates $g(X)$ between $g(x_0)$ and $g(X \setminus V)$.

It follows that

- (7) $g^{-1}(F)$ is closed, $(n-1)$ -dimensional, and separates X between x_0 and $X \setminus V$.

Now we are ready to complete the proof of (*). Note that by (1) and (7) there is an essential map $\varphi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. By (3) and (5) there is a continuous extension $\varphi^* : \partial U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of φ . However, by (4), φ^* is null-homotopic, hence so is φ , a contradiction. This proves (*).

Next we show that

- (**) P_i is a graph.

To prove (**) recall that P_i is a local dendrite. Since P_i has no endpoint, it contains a circle. (Otherwise it is a dendrite, hence contains an endpoint.) It follows that the union of all simple closed curves in P_i is a (not necessarily connected) graph. Enlarging this set by the union of a finite collection of arcs (e.g., adding arcs in P_i irreducibly connecting different components of the union), we get a connected graph $Q_i (\subset P_i)$ such that for each component C of $P_i \setminus Q_i$ we have

- (8) $\overline{C}$ is a dendrite and ∂C consists of a single point.

To prove (**) it suffices to show that $Q_i = P_i$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $P_i \setminus Q_i \neq \emptyset$. Then consider a component C of $P_i \setminus Q_i$. It is an open set in P_i . By (1), $\overline{C}$ is a dendrite and ∂C is a one-point set. There is a point $z_0 \in C$ which is an endpoint of the dendrite $\overline{C}$. Since C is open, z_0 is an endpoint of P_i as well. This contradicts (*) and ends the proof of (**).

As g_i is a monotone surjection, the induced homomorphism $H^1(g_i) : H^1(P_i) \rightarrow H^1(X)$ is a monomorphism by the Vietoris-Begle Theorem for $n = 1$ (see e.g. [Sp, 6.9, Theorem 15]). Therefore, $\text{rank } H^1(P_i) \leq \text{rank } H^1(X)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

It is well known that for any closed n -manifold M the group $H^1(M)$ has finite rank. Consequently, Theorem 2B.1 implies the following

Corollary 2B.2. *If a closed n -manifold is embeddable in a product of n curves, then it is also embeddable in a product of n graphs.* $\square$

Note. This corollary answers a question posed (for surfaces) by R. Cauty [C]. $\square$

Example 2B.3. *There exist a curve X which is not a graph, and a closed orientable surface M in the product $X \times X$ such that both projections $pr_i : X \times X \rightarrow X$ map M onto X . Moreover, M is invariant under the canonical involution on $X \times X$ which interchanges the coordinates.*

Proof. First we construct a closed orientable surface N in the product $Y_1 \times Y_2$ of two curves such that

- (1) Y_1 is not a graph,
- (2) the projections $q_i : Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow Y_i$ map N onto Y_i .

Define Y_1 to be the union $Y_1 = \alpha_0 \cup \alpha_1 \cup \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ of four arcs with common endpoints a, b such that $\alpha_0 \cup \alpha_1 \cup \beta_1$ is a θ -curve, $\beta_2 \cap (\alpha_0 \cup \alpha_1) = \emptyset$, and $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2$ is a compact set with infinitely many components. Then Y_1 satisfies (1). Define Y_2 to be a graph given by the formula: $Y_2 = T_0 \cup T_1$, where T_0, T_1 are two oriented circles whose intersection $T_0 \cap T_1 = L_0 \cup L_1$, where L_0, L_1 are disjoint oriented arcs coherently oriented with each T_i . In such a case, each T_i can be presented as the union of four arcs with disjoint interiors, $T_i = A_i \cup B_i \cup L_0 \cup L_1$, such that $S_1 = A_0 \cup A_1$ and $S_2 = B_0 \cup B_1$ are disjoint circles. We define the surface N in $Y_1 \times Y_2$ by the formula

$$N = \alpha_0 \times T_0 \cup \alpha_1 \times T_1 \cup \beta_1 \times S_1 \cup \beta_2 \times S_2.$$

One easily verifies that N is an orientable surface satisfying (2).

To construct the promised example we proceed as follows. Choose a homeomorphism $h : \alpha_0 \rightarrow A_0$. Then define X to be the quotient space $X = (Y_1 \sqcup Y_2)/x \sim h(x)$ for each $x \in \alpha_0$. By (1) we infer that X is a curve but not a graph. Let $X_i = h_i(Y_i)$, where $h_i : Y_i \rightarrow X$ are canonical embeddings. Clearly, $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ and $X_1 \cap X_2 = A$, where $A = h_1(\alpha_0) = h_2(A_0)$ is an arc. Let $t : Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow Y_2 \times Y_1$ denote the map given by $t(y, z) = (z, y)$. Then we define $M(\subset X \times X)$ as follows:

$$M = [(h_1 \times h_2)(N) \cup (h_2 \times h_1)(t(N))] \setminus (\mathring{A} \times \mathring{A}).$$

One easily verifies that M is invariant under canonical involution on $X \times X$. Since $\alpha_0 \times A_0 \subset N \subset Y_1 \times Y_2$, $A_0 \times \alpha_0 \subset t(N) \subset Y_2 \times Y_1$. Hence $A \times A = (h_1 \times h_2)(\alpha_0 \times A_0) \subset (h_1 \times h_2)(N) \subset (h_1 \times h_2)(Y_1 \times Y_2) = X_1 \times X_2$. Likewise, $A \times A \subset (h_2 \times h_1)(t(N)) \subset X_2 \times X_1$. Moreover, $(X_1 \times X_2) \cap (X_2 \times X_1) = A \times A$. Hence we have

$$(h_1 \times h_2)(N) \cap (h_2 \times h_1)(t(N)) = A \times A.$$

Thus M is the connected sum of orientable surfaces $(h_1 \times h_2)(N)$ and $(h_2 \times h_1)(t(N))$, hence it is an orientable surface. Applying (2), we easily see that both projections $pr_i : X \times X \rightarrow X$ map M onto X . $\square$

In connection with the above proof let us notice the following fact.

Note. Let M be any compactum lying in the product $P_1 \times P_2$ of two graphs. If A is an arc in P_1 with $p_1^{-1}(A) = A \times (S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_k)$, $k \geq 2$, where $S_1, \dots, S_k$ are disjoint circles in P_2 , then M can be embedded in the product $P'_1 \times P_2$ in such a way that P'_1 is a curve and the image of M under the projection $P'_1 \times P_2 \rightarrow P'_1$ is not a graph. (In fact, P'_1 can be obtained from P_1 by adding an arc B with the same endpoints as that of A in such a way that $A \cup B$ is not a graph.) $\square$

The surface M constructed in Example 2B.3 meets the diagonal of $X \times X$. Below we present another example of a surface in the product $P \times P$, where P is a graph, which is disjoint from the diagonal of the product and is invariant under the canonical involution on $P \times P$.

Example 2B.4. *There exist a graph P and a closed orientable surface M in $P \times P$ such that: M is disjoint with the diagonal of $P \times P$, both projections $pr_i : P \times P \rightarrow P$ map M onto P , and M is invariant under the canonical involution on $P \times P$.*

Proof. Fix any number $n \geq 4$. The graph P is defined to be a subset of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times I$ given by

$$P = (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0, 1\}) \cup \{z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}\} \times I,$$

where $z_j = \exp(2\pi i \frac{j}{n})$, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Then define arcs $A_j \times \{0\}$, $A_j \times \{1\}$, I_j and circles S_j in P as follows:

$$A_j = \{\exp(2\pi it) : t \in [\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}]\}, \quad I_j = \{z_j\} \times I, \quad S_j = I_j \cup (A_j \times \{0, 1\}) \cup I_{j+1}.$$

(All indices in this construction are reduced modulo n .) Finally, define tori T_j to be the subsets of $P \times P$ given by

$$T_j = S_j \times S_{j+2}.$$

Notice that the intersection

$$D_j = T_i \cap T_{j+1} = I_{j+1} \times I_{j+3}$$

is a disc. Now we are ready to define the surface M , put

$$M = (T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_{n-1}) \setminus (\mathring{D}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathring{D}_{n-1}).$$

One easily verifies that M has all the desired properties. $\square$

2C. Ramified manifolds in products of graphs

Here we establish some properties of ramified n -manifolds lying in products of n graphs. These properties will find essential applications in the next section and in a subsequent paper.

Throughout this section we consider fixed graphs $P_1 = |K_1|, \dots, P_n = |K_n|$, $n \geq 2$, where each K_i is a regular 1-dimensional CW complex.

[So, each 1-cell of K_i (an *edge*), is an arc; its endpoints are called *vertices*.] By $K_1 \square \dots \square K_n$ we denote the CW cell structure on $P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ defined by

$$K_1 \square \dots \square K_n = \{\sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_n : \sigma \in K_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in K_n\}.$$

Also, we consider a fixed ramified n -manifold $M = |K(M)|$ lying in $P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$, where $K(M)$ is a subcomplex of $K_1 \square \dots \square K_n$.

By Proposition 2A. 5(ii) we have

Property (a). M is the union of n -cells of $K(M)$. $\square$

We adopt the following notation. For a *non-void subset* J of the index set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ let:

- $P_J = \prod_{j \in J} P_j$,
- K_J denote the cell structure on P_J induced by $\{K_j : j \in J\}$,
- p_J denote the restriction to M of the projection $pr_J : P_1 \times \dots \times P_n \rightarrow P_J$ (in particular, $p_{\{1, \dots, n\}} : M \rightarrow P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ is the inclusion mapping,
- $n_J = |J|$,
- $J^c = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J$ (therefore, $n_{J^c} = n - n_J$).

Notice that $P_{\{j\}} = P_j$, $K_{\{j\}} = K_j$ and $pr_{\{j\}} = pr_j$. We abbreviate $p_{\{j\}}$ to p_j .

For any cell $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_n \in K$, $\sigma_j \in K_j$, the restriction $p_J|_\sigma$ is the projection onto $\sigma_J = \prod_{j \in J} \sigma_j$. In this sense we say that p_J *preserves* the cell structures K and K_J . It follows from Property (a) that

Property (b). $p_J(M) = |K'_J|$, where K'_J is a subcomplex of K_J . Moreover, $|K'_J|$ is a ramified n_J -manifold; if M is a (simple) pseudo n -manifold, then $p_J(M)$ is a (simple) pseudo n_J -manifold. (In the sequel we abbreviate $K'_{\{j\}}$ to $K'_{j\cdot}$.) $\square$

From this point on to the end of this section we assume that J is a *proper non-void subset* of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

For every cell $\tau \in K'_{J^c}$, we define $P_J(\tau)$ to be the union of all n_J -cells $\sigma \in K_J$ such that $\sigma \times \tau \subset M$. From Property (a) we infer that

Property (c). $M = \bigcup \{P_J(\tau) \times \tau : \tau \in K'_{J^c} \text{ is an } n_{J^c}\text{-cell}\}$. $\square$

Property (d). If τ is a face of a cell $\tau' \in K'_{J^c}$ then $P_J(\tau) \supset P_J(\tau')$. $\square$

In addition, for any point $y \in p_{J^c}(M)$, we define $P_J(y)$ to be the set $P_J(y) = \{x \in P_J : (x, y) \in M\}$. Thus, $P_J(y) \times \{y\} = p_J^{-1}(y)$, and $P_J(y) \subset p_J(M)$.

Property (e). For any cell $\tau \in K'_{J^c}$ and any point $y \in \tau$ we have $P_J(y) = P_J(\tau) = \bigcup \{P_J(\tau') : \tau' \in K'_{J^c} \text{ is an } n_{J^c}\text{-cell with face } \tau\}$.

Proof. Note that $P_J(y) \supset P_J(\tau) \supset P_J(\tau')$ for each cell $\tau' \in K'_{J^c}$ with face τ . So, it remains to justify the inclusion

$$P_J(y) \subset \bigcup \{P_J(\tau') : \tau' \in K'_{J^c} \text{ is an } n_{J^c}\text{-cell with face } \tau\}.$$

To this end, consider a point $x \in P_J(y)$. Then $(x, y) \in M$. By Property (a), (x, y) belongs to an n -cell $\sigma \times \tau' \subset M$, where σ is an n_J -cell in K_J and τ' is an n_{J^c} -cell in K'_{J^c} . As $y \in \tau$, τ is a face of τ' by Proposition 2A.1, because K_J has proper cells (see Corollary 2A.2). It follows that $x \in \bigcup \{P_J(\tau') : \tau' \in K'_{J^c} \text{ is an } n_{J^c}\text{-cell with face } \tau\}$, which ends the proof. $\square$

Property (f). *For any cell $\tau \in K'_{J^c}$ the set $P_J(\tau)$ is a finite disjoint union of ramified n_J -manifolds in $P_J = |K_J|$. Moreover, if M is a pseudo n -manifold and τ is an n_{J^c} -cell then $P_J(\tau)$ is a finite union of disjoint pseudo n_J -manifolds.*

Proof. If τ is an n_{J^c} -cell then both assertions follow from the fact that each $(n-1)$ -cell $\sigma \times \tau \in K(M)$, where $\sigma \in K_J$ is an (n_J-1) -cell, is a face of at least two (exactly two if M is a pseudo n -manifold) n -cells $\sigma_1 \times \tau$, $\sigma_2 \times \tau \in K(M)$. Consequently, the first assertion for arbitrary $\tau \in K'_{J^c}$ follows from Property (e). $\square$

Property (g). $p_J(M) = \bigcup \{P_J(\tau) : \tau \in K'_{J^c} \text{ is a } k\text{-cell}\}$ for each $k = 0, \dots, n_{J^c}$.

Proof. For $k = n_{J^c}$ this follows from Property (c). Applying Property (e) we obtain the general case. $\square$

Property (h). *If $P_J(w)$ is an n_J -manifold for each vertex $w \in K'_{J^c}$, then $p_J(M) = P_J(w_0)$ for any vertex w_0 of K'_{J^c} .*

Proof. By Property (g) (with $k = 0$), it suffices to prove that

$$(*) \quad P_J(w_1) = P_J(w_2) \text{ for any two vertices } w_1, w_2 \in K'_{J^c}.$$

To this end, consider a 1-cell $\tau \in K'_{J^c}$ with vertices w and w' . Then, by Properties (f) and (d), $P_J(\tau)$ is a finite union of ramified n_J -manifolds contained in both n_J -manifolds $P_J(w)$ and $P_J(w')$. It follows that $P_J(w) = P_J(\tau) = P_J(w')$, as no proper ramified n_J -manifold is contained in an n_J -manifold (cf. Corollary 2A.6). Thus the condition $(*)$ is a consequence of the connectivity of the complex K'_{J^c} . $\square$

Property (i). *If $p_J(M)$ is a simple pseudo n_J -manifold then $M = p_J(M) \times p_{J^c}(M)$.*

Proof. For every $\tau \in K'_{J^c}$ the set $P_J(\tau)$ is a ramified n_J -manifold contained in the simple pseudo n_J -manifold $p_J(M)$, so $P_J(\tau) = p_J(M)$. Hence the assertion follows from Property (c). $\square$

Property (j). *Let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $p_j(M)$ is a circle if and only if $P_j(v)$ is a circle for each vertex $v \in K'_{\{j\}^c}$.*

Proof. This follows from Property (h) combined with Property (i). $\square$

Put

$$J_M = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : p_j(M) \text{ is a circle}\}.$$

If J_M is non-void then M is said to *have projections onto circles*, and any p_j , for $j \in J_M$, is said to be *a projection of M onto a circle*.

Property (k). *If $J_M = \{1, \dots, n\}$ then $M = p_1(M) \times \dots \times p_n(M)$ is an n -torus. If J_M is a proper non-void subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ then $M = p_{J_M}(M) \times p_{J_M^c}(M)$, where $p_{J_M}(M) = \prod_{j \in J_M} p_j(M)$ is an n_{J_M} -torus, and $p_{J_M^c}(M) (\subset \prod_{j \in J_M^c} P_j)$ is a ramified $n_{J_M^c}$ -manifold which has no projection onto a circle.*

Proof. First notice that $p_{J_M}(M)$ is an n_{J_M} -torus $\prod_{j \in J_M} p_j(M)$. This follows from Corollary 2A.6 because $p_{J_M}(M)$ is a ramified n_{J_M} -manifold (see Property (b))

lying in the torus $\prod_{j \in J_M} p_j(M)$ of the same dimension. Next notice that $M = p_{J_M}(M) \times p_{J_M^c}(M)$ by Property (i). This ends the argument. $\square$

2D. Product structure of generalized manifolds lying in products of curves

The proof of the main result of this section, Theorem 2D.6, will be preceded by a series of auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2D.1. *Let M be a non-void compact subset of the product $P \times Q$ and let $u : P \rightarrow Y$, $v : Q \rightarrow Z$ be mappings such that $u \times v : P \times Q \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is injective on M . Then we have:*

(i) *If every pair of sets $pr_Q(M \cap pr_P^{-1}(x))$, with $x \in pr_P(M)$, has non-void intersection then u is injective on $pr_P(M)$;*

(ii) *If P is a graph, Q is a finite product of graphs, and M is a ramified n -manifold then $pr_P(M)$ is a circle if and only if $pr_Y((u \times v)(M))$ is a circle.*

Proof of (i). Suppose $u(x) = u(x')$ for some $x, x' \in pr_P(M)$. It suffices to show that $x = x'$. By the hypothesis there is $y \in pr_Q(M \cap pr_P^{-1}(x)) \cap pr_Q(M \cap pr_P^{-1}(x'))$. Hence $(x, y), (x', y) \in M$ and $(u \times v)(x, y) = (u \times v)(x', y)$. Since $u \times v$ is injective on M the conclusion follows.

Proof of (ii). We consider all P , $pr_P(M)$, Q , $pr_Q(M)$, and M with natural CW product structures as in section 2C. First assume $pr_P(M)$ is a circle. We must show that $pr_Y((u \times v)(M))$ is a circle as well. To this end, observe that for any vertex $w \in pr_Q(M)$ the set $P(w)$ is a non-void union of ramified 1-manifolds, see Property (f). Since $P(w) \times \{w\} \subset M$, we also have $P(w) \subset pr_P(M)$. It follows from our assumption that $P(w) = pr_P(M)$. Hence $P(w)$ is a circle and $pr_P(M) = pr_P(P(w) \times \{w\})$. So, we have $pr_Y((u \times v)(M)) = u(pr_P(M)) = u(pr_P(P(w) \times \{w\})) = pr_Y((u \times v)(P(w) \times \{w\})) = u(P(w))$. Since $u \times v$ is injective on $P(w) \times \{w\}$ and pr_Y is injective on $u(P(w)) \times v(\{w\})$ it follows that $u(P(w))$ is a circle. This proves the first implication.

Next assume $pr_Y((u \times v)(M))$ is a circle. We have to show that $pr_P(M)$ is also a circle. By Property (h) it suffices to show that $P(w)$ is a circle for each vertex $w \in pr_Q(M)$. But this can be achieved using an argument analogous to that from the above proof. This ends the proof of the second implication. $\square$

Lemma 2D.2. *Let X be a compactum and let A be a closed subset of X . If $\dim X \leq m$ and $H^m(X) = 0$ then $H^n(A) = 0$ for each $n \geq m$.*

Proof. Since $H^n(X) = 0$ and $H^{n+1}(X, A) = 0$, the conclusion follows from the cohomology exact sequence of the pair (X, A) . $\square$

Lemma 2D.3. *Let X_i , $i = 1, 2$, be non-degenerate continua such that each point of X_i admits a closed neighborhood with trivial n_i -dimensional cohomology, where $n_i = \dim X_i$. If $X_1 \times X_2$ is a quasi $(n_1 + n_2)$ -manifold then each X_i is a quasi n_i -manifold.*

Note. Every polyhedron P satisfies the condition from this lemma: each point of P admits a closed neighborhood which is contractible. $\square$

Proof. Let $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$ be arbitrary points. We must construct open neighborhoods V_i of x_i in X_i satisfying the definition of a quasi n_i -manifold. Let $n = n_1 + n_2$. Since $X_1 \times X_2$ is a quasi n -manifold, there is an open neighborhood V of the point (x_1, x_2) in $X_1 \times X_2$ such that for every closed $(n-1)$ -dimensional set F separating $X_1 \times X_2$ between (x_1, x_2) and $(X_1 \times X_2) \setminus V$ we have $H^{n-1}(F) \neq 0$. Since the same condition holds for any open neighborhood of (x_1, x_2) contained in V , by Lemma 2D.2 and our hypothesis about X_i , we may assume that $V = V_1 \times V_2$, where each V_i is an open neighborhood of x_i in X_i such that $H^{n_i}(\overline{V}_i) = 0$, where $\overline{V}_i$ stands for the closure of V_i in X_i . We shall show that these V_i 's are the desired neighborhoods.

By Lemma 2D.2 again, it follows that

(*) for any closed subset A of X_i contained in $\overline{V}_i$ and any $k \geq n_i$ we have $H^k(A) = 0$.

Now consider a closed (n_i-1) -dimensional subset F_i of X_i separating X_i between x_i and $X_i \setminus V_i$. Then $X_i \setminus F_i = U_i \cup W_i$, where U_i, W_i are disjoint open sets in X_i such that $x_i \in U_i$ and $X_i \setminus V_i \subset W_i$. Then $\overline{U}_i \subset V_i$, and the boundary $\partial U_i (\subset F_i)$ separates X_i between x_i and $X_i \setminus V_i$. It follows that $\partial(U_1 \times U_2)$ separates $X_1 \times X_2$ between (x_1, x_2) and $(X_1 \times X_2) \setminus V$. Thus $H^{n-1}(\partial(U_1 \times U_2)) \neq 0$.

Then consider the following portion of the Mayer-Vietoris cohomology exact sequence of the couple $\{\partial U_1 \times \overline{U}_2, \overline{U}_1 \times \partial U_2\}$:

$$H^{n-2}(\partial U_1 \times \partial U_2) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^{n-1}(\partial(U_1 \times U_2)) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(\partial U_1 \times \overline{U}_2) \oplus H^{n-1}(\overline{U}_1 \times \partial U_2).$$

(The sequence takes this form because $\partial U_1 \times \partial U_2 = (\partial U_1 \times \overline{U}_2) \cap (\overline{U}_1 \times \partial U_2)$ and $\partial(U_1 \times U_2) = (\partial U_1 \times \overline{U}_2) \cup (\overline{U}_1 \times \partial U_2)$.) By the Künneth formula and (*), $H^{n-1}(\partial U_1 \times \overline{U}_2) = 0$ and $H^{n-1}(\overline{U}_1 \times \partial U_2) = 0$. It follows that δ^* is an epimorphism. Thus $H^{n-2}(\partial U_1 \times \partial U_2) \neq 0$ since $H^{n-1}(\partial(U_1 \times U_2)) \neq 0$. Again, by the Künneth formula and (*), $H^{n-2}(\partial U_1 \times \partial U_2) = H^{n_1-1}(\partial U_1) \otimes H^{n_2-1}(\partial U_2)$. It follows that both $H^{n_1-1}(\partial U_1)$ and $H^{n_2-1}(\partial U_2)$ are not trivial.

Note that $H^{n_i}(F_i, \partial U_i) = 0$ since $\dim F_i = n_i - 1$. Thus, from the cohomology exact sequence of the pair $(F_i, \partial U_i)$ and (*), it follows that the homomorphism $H^{n_i-1}(F_i) \rightarrow H^{n_i-1}(\partial U_i)$ induced by the inclusion $\partial U_i \hookrightarrow F_i$ is an epimorphism. Consequently, each $H^{n_i-1}(F_i)$ is not trivial, which concludes the proof of our lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2D.4. Let $p_i : X \rightarrow P_i$ and $q_i : S_i \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be any mappings such that

$$H^1(p_i \circ q_j) : H^1(P_i) \rightarrow H^1(S_j)$$

is an epimorphism for each $i = j$, and the zero homomorphism for $i \neq j$. Then the homomorphism

$$\varphi : H^1(X) \rightarrow H^1(S_1) \oplus \dots \oplus H^1(S_n),$$

given by $\varphi = (H^1(q_1), \dots, H^1(q_n))$ is an epimorphism. Consequently, $\text{rank } H^1(X) \geq \text{rank } H^1(S_1) + \dots + \text{rank } H^1(S_n)$.

Proof. Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ be any element in $H^1(S_1) \oplus \dots \oplus H^1(S_n)$. We have to find an $\alpha \in H^1(X)$ such that $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta$. By our assumption $\beta_i = H^1(p_i \circ q_i)(\alpha_i)$ for some $\alpha_i \in H^1(P_i)$ and each i . One easily verifies that $\alpha = H^1(p_1)(\alpha_1) + \dots + H^1(p_n)(\alpha_n)$ satisfies the equality. $\square$

In the following lemma we keep the notation and assumptions of section 2C.

Lemma 2D.5. *Let M be a ramified n -manifold in the product $P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ of graphs. Then $\text{rank } H^1(M) \geq n$. If $\text{rank } H^1(M) = n + k$, where $k < n$, we have $n_{J_M} \geq n - k$. If $J_M = \{1, \dots, n\}$ then M coincides with the n -torus $p_1(M) \times \dots \times p_n(M)$; if J_M is a proper non-void subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ then $M = p_{J_M}(M) \times p_{J_M^c}(M)$, where $p_{J_M}(M) = \prod_{j \in J_M} p_j(M)$ is an n_{J_M} -torus and $p_{J_M^c}(M)$ is a ramified $n_{J_M^c}$ -manifold which has no projection onto a circle.*

Proof. Let $v_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, denote a vertex of $K'_{\{j\}^c}$. By Property (f), $P_j(v_j)$ is a finite union of ramified 1-manifolds. To continue the proof we apply Lemma 2D.4 as follows.

Let $q_j : P_j(v_j) \rightarrow M$ be the map such that $p_j \circ q_j$ is the inclusion $P_j(v_j) \hookrightarrow P_j$ and $(p_{\{j\}^c} \circ q_j)(P_j(v_j)) = \{v_j\}$. Since $p_j \circ q_j$ is an inclusion into a graph, $H^1(p_j \circ q_j)$ is an epimorphism. If $i \neq j$ then $H^1(p_i \circ q_j)$ is the zero homomorphism as the image of $p_i \circ q_j$ is a point. Thus, by Lemma 2D.4, we obtain

$$(*) \text{rank } H^1(M) \geq \text{rank } H^1(P_1(v_1)) + \dots + \text{rank } H^1(P_n(v_n)).$$

Notice that $\text{rank } H^1(P_j(v_j)) \geq 1$. Hence $\text{rank } H^1(M) \geq n$, which proves the first assertion.

Now we prove the second one. To this end, pick the vertices v_j so that $\text{rank } H^1(P_j(v_j)) \geq \text{rank } H^1(P_j(w_j))$ for each vertex $w_j \in K'_{\{j\}^c}$. Let

$$J_0 = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \text{rank } H^1(P_j(v_j)) = 1\}.$$

Since $\text{rank } H^1(M) = n + k$, by $(*)$ we infer that $\text{rank } H^1(P_j(v_j)) \geq 2$ for at most k indices j . Hence J_0 consists of at least $n - k$ indices. If $j \in J_0$ then $\text{rank } H^1(P_j(w_j)) = 1$ for each vertex $w_j \in K'_{\{j\}^c}$. Hence $P_j(w_j)$ is a circle. By Property (h) we infer that $p_j(M)$ is a circle for each $j \in J_0$. It follows that $J_0 \subset J_M$. Hence $n_{J_M} \geq n - k$. The remaining assertions directly follow from Property (k). $\square$

In section 2C we have established notation related to a subset M lying in a product of graphs $P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$. Here we introduce an analogous notation related to a subset X of the product of curves $Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n$. In particular, for a non-void subset J of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ let Y_J denote the product $\prod_{j \in J} Y_j$, and let $pr_J : Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n \rightarrow Y_J$ denote the corresponding projection. Similarly, let

$$J_X = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : pr_j(X) \text{ is a circle}\}.$$

If J_X is non-void then X is said to *have projections onto circles*, and any pr_j , for $j \in J_X$, is said to be *a projection of X onto a circle*.

Theorem 2D.6. *Let X be a locally connected quasi n -manifold in the product $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ of n curves, $n \geq 1$. Then we have:*

- (1) $\text{rank } H^1(X) \geq n$;
- (2) *If $\text{rank } H^1(X) = n + k$, where $k < n$, then J_X contains at least $n - k$ elements. In particular, if $\text{rank } H^1(X) = n$ then $J_X = \{1, \dots, n\}$;*
- (3) *If $J_X = \{1, \dots, n\}$ then $X = \text{pr}_1(X) \times \cdots \times \text{pr}_n(X)$ is an n -torus ;*
- (4) *If J_X is a proper non-void subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ then $X = (\prod_{j \in J_X} \text{pr}_j(X)) \times \text{pr}_{J_X^c}(X)$, where the first factor is an n_{J_X} -torus, and $\text{pr}_{J_X^c}(X)$ is a quasi $n_{J_X^c}$ -manifold in $Y_{J_X^c}$ having no projection onto a circle.*

Proof. Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ denote the inclusion, i.e. $f_j = \text{pr}_j|_X$ for each j . To prove (1) and (2) we can assume that $\text{rank } H^1(X)$ is finite. Then, by Theorem 2B.1, there exist mappings $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : X \rightarrow P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n$ and $h = h_1 \times \cdots \times h_n : P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n \rightarrow Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ such that $f = h \circ g$ (hence $f_j = h_j \circ g_j$ for each j), where each $g_j : X \rightarrow P_j = |K_j|$ is a surjection onto a graph. Then g is an embedding, hence $M = g(X)$ is a quasi n -manifold in the product $P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n$. So, $\text{rank } H^1(X) = \text{rank } H^1(M)$. By Theorem 2A.10, $M = |K(M)|$, where $K(M)$ is a subcomplex of $K_1 \square \cdots \square K_n$. Then, by Proposition 2A.5(iii), we see that M is also a ramified n -manifold. Thus, by Lemma 2D.5, $\text{rank } H^1(X) \geq n$, which proves (1). By Lemma 2D.1(ii) we have $J_X = J_M$. In fact, h is a product of mappings $h_j : P_j \rightarrow Y_j$, is injective on M and $X = h(M)$, hence the hypotheses of that lemma are fulfilled. Then the equality simply follows. Now we shall prove (2). To this end, suppose $\text{rank } H^1(X) = n + k$, where $k < n$. Then $J_X (= J_M)$ contains at least $n - k$ elements, by Lemma 2D.5. This proves (2). Next we shall prove (3). So, suppose $J_X = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $J_M = \{1, \dots, n\}$, hence by Lemma 2D.5 we have $M = p_1(M) \times \cdots \times p_n(M)$ (where $p_j(M) = P_j$). Since $X = h(M)$, $h = h_1 \times \cdots \times h_n$, and $h_j(p_j(M)) = \text{pr}_j(X)$ we infer that $X = (h_1 \times \cdots \times h_n)(p_1(M) \times \cdots \times p_n(M)) = \text{pr}_1(X) \times \cdots \times \text{pr}_n(X)$. This proves (3). Finally, we shall prove (4). So, suppose J_X is a proper non-void subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $J_M = J_X$, by Lemma 2D.5 we have $M = p_{J_M}(M) \times p_{J_M^c}(M)$, where $p_{J_M}(M) = \prod_{j \in J_M} p_j(M)$ with each $p_j(M)$, $j \in J_M$, being a circle. By Lemma 2D.3 the set $p_{J_M^c}(M)$ is a quasi $n_{J_M^c}$ -manifold. Since $X = h(M)$, $h = h_1 \times \cdots \times h_n$, and $h|_M$ is an embedding, this factorization of M and Lemma 2D.1 imply all assertions we need. Indeed, Lemma 2D.1(i) implies that each h_j , $j \in J_M$, is an embedding whose image equals $\text{pr}_j(X)$, and also $h_{J_M^c}|_{p_{J_M^c}(M)}$ is an embedding whose image equals $\text{pr}_{J_X^c}(X)$. It follows that $X = (\prod_{j \in J_X} \text{pr}_j(X)) \times \text{pr}_{J_X^c}(X)$, where each $\text{pr}_j(X)$, $j \in J_X$, is a circle. Since for no $j \in J_X^c$ the set $\text{pr}_j(X)$ is a circle, the set $\text{pr}_{J_X^c}(X)$ has no projection onto a circle. $\square$

Corollary 2D.7. *Let X be a locally connected quasi n -manifold in the product $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ of n curves, $n \geq 1$. If no set $\text{pr}_j(X)$ is a circle then $\text{rank } H^1(X) \geq 2n$. $\square$*

Applying the Künneth formula we infer the following

Corollary 2D.8. *No product $\mathbb{T}^{n-k} \times M^k$, where $2 \leq k \leq n$ and M^k is a closed k -manifold with $H^1(M^k) = 0$, can be embedded in a product of n curves. $\square$*

Remark. This corollary implies the Borsuk theorem [B3].

The "Bing house" and the "dunce hat" are contractible quasi 2-manifolds. Hence the first cohomology group of both examples is trivial. Thus the theorem implies that

Corollary 2D.9. *Both the "Bing house" and the "dunce hat" are 2-dimensional compact contractible polyhedra, and neither can be embedded in a product of two curves. $\square$*

Note. This corollary shows that the number of factors in the Nagata embedding theorem cannot be reduced to n , even for contractible n -dimensional polyhedra. $\square$

Corollary 2D.10. *Let X be an n -manifold, $n \geq 2$, lying in the product $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ of n curves. If $\text{rank } H^1(X) \leq n + 1$ then $X = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$, where each S_j is a circle in Y_j .*

Note. The assumptions on X can be relaxed: it is enough to assume that X is a locally connected quasi n -manifold which is also a pseudo n -manifold.

Proof. By Theorem 2D.6 there is a set $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ composed of $n - 1$ elements such that

$$(*) \quad X = \left(\prod_{j \in J} S_j \right) \times X',$$

where each S_j is a circle in Y_j and X' is a quasi 1-manifold in Y_i , where i is the element of the set $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J$. Since X' is locally connected and $\text{rank } H^1(X') \leq 2$ it follows that X' is a graph with no endpoint. As X is a pseudo n -manifold, by $(*)$ it follows that X' contains no triod, hence it is a circle. This completes the proof.

2E. Contractible 2-dimensional polyhedra in products of two graphs

In this section we prove a result which in a particular case gives a noteworthy property of 2-dimensional polyhedra acyclic in dimension 1 and embeddable in products of two curves. As neither the "Bing house" nor the "dunce hat" have this property, we get another argument for non-embeddability of those examples in products of two curves.

Theorem 2E.1. *Let P be a 2-dimensional connected polyhedron embeddable in a product of two curves. If $P = |K|$, where K is a regular CW complex, then*

- (i) *if $H^1(P) = 0$ then K is collapsible;*
- (ii) *if $\text{rank } H^1(P) = 1$ then K collapses to a circle;*
- (iii) *if $\text{rank } H^1(P) = 2$ then K collapses to either a torus or a quasi 1-manifold.*

Remark. Also this theorem implies the Borsuk theorem [Bo3].

Proof. Let $\kappa = \{K = K_0 \searrow K_1 \searrow \cdots \searrow K_n\}$ be a maximal sequence of subcomplexes of K such that each successive complex is obtained from the preceding one by an elementary collapsing. First we establish some general properties:

- (1) K_n is connected and $H^i(|K_n|) = H^i(P)$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (2) If K_n is 1-dimensional then $|K_n|$ is a quasi 1-manifold, so $\text{rank } H^1(|K_n|) \geq 1$.

In fact, since κ is maximal K_n has no endpoint.

- (3) If K_n is 2-dimensional and $\text{rank } H^1(P) \leq 2$ then $|K_n|$ is a torus. So, $\text{rank } H^1(|K_n|) = 2$.

In fact, let X denote the union of all 2-cells of K_n . Then $X = |K'_n|$, where K'_n is a subcomplex of K_n . Since κ is maximal, each 1-cell of K'_n is a face of at least two different 2-cells of K'_n . Thus each component of X is a ramified 2-manifold. Since $|K_n| \setminus X$ is 1-dimensional, by exactness of the cohomology sequence of the pair $(|K_n|, X)$, the homomorphism $H^1(|K_n|) \rightarrow H^1(X)$ induced by the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow |K_n|$ is an epimorphism. It follows from the assumption that $\text{rank } H^1(X) \leq 2$. From Theorem 2D.6 we infer that X is homeomorphic to a torus. To complete the proof it suffices to show that $X = |K_n|$. Suppose, to the contrary, that X is a proper subset of $|K_n|$. Let C denote the closure (in $|K_n|$) of a component of $|K_n| \setminus X$. Then C is a 1-dimensional connected subpolyhedron of $|K_n|$ intersecting X in a finite (nonzero) number of points. Since κ is maximal, each endpoint of C belongs to X . Notice that if C meets X in one point then it contains a circle S , and if C meets X in at least two points then it contains an arc L with end points in X . In the first case $\text{rank } H^1(X \cup S) = 3$ and in the second case $\text{rank } H^1(X \cup L) = 3$. It follows that $\text{rank } H^1(|K_n|) \geq 3$, contrary to our assumption. This proves the equality $X = |K_n|$, which completes the proof of (3).

The conclusion of our theorem readily follows from the above properties. $\square$

Theorem 2E.3 below is a partial converse of Theorem 2E.1. In the proof of 2E.3 we need the following

Lemma 2E.2. *Let K_1 and K_2 be regular 1-dimensional CW complexes, and let A be an oriented arc in $|K_1| \times |K_2|$ which is a union of 1-cells of $K_1 \square K_2$. Then there exist regular 1-dimensional CW complexes $K'_1 \supset K_1$ and $K'_2 \supset K_2$, and a disc $D \subset |K'_1| \times |K'_2|$, such that*

- (i) *each component of $|K'_i| \setminus |K_i|$ is a 1-cell of K'_i with one endpoint removed,*
- (ii) *D is a union of 2-cells of $K'_1 \square K'_2$ and $D \cap (|K_1| \times |K_2|) = A$.*

Proof. Let (v_0, w_0) denote the initial point of A . Without loss of generality we may assume that A can be presented as a union of "vertical" and "horizontal" arcs as follows:

$$A = \{v_0\} \times w_0 w_1 \cup v_0 v_1 \times \{w_1\} \cup \{v_1\} \times w_1 w_2 \cup v_1 v_2 \times \{w_2\} \cup \cdots$$

To obtain K'_1 we enlarge K_1 adding mutually disjoint 1-cells $v_j v'_j$ standing out of K_1 (take $v_k v'_k = v_j v'_j$ if $v_k = v_j$). Similarly, to obtain K'_2 we enlarge K_2 adding

mutually disjoint 1-cells $w_j w'_j$ standing out of K_2 . Hence (i) holds. Consider the following discs (if they are defined):

$$\begin{aligned} &v_j v'_j \times w_j w'_j, \\ &v_j v'_j \times w_j w_{j+1}, \\ &v_j v'_j \times w_{j+1} w'_{j+1}, \\ &v_j v_{j+1} \times w_{j+1} w'_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If A terminates at a point (v_{n+1}, w_{n+1}) , $n \geq 0$, then define D to be the union of the discs for all $j = 0, \dots, n$. If A terminates at a point (v_n, w_{n+1}) define D to be the union of the discs for all $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and two initial discs for $j = n$. Then one can verify that D is a disc and condition (ii) holds as well. $\square$

Theorem 2E.3. *Let K be a regular 2-dimensional CW complex. If K is collapsible then $|K|$ is embeddable in a product of two trees.*

Proof. We shall prove a stronger version of this theorem:

(0) *there exist trees $|K_1|, |K_2|$ and an embedding $h : |K| \rightarrow |K_1| \times |K_2|$ such that $h(\sigma)$ is a union of cells of $K_1 \sqcup K_2$ for each cell $\sigma \in K$.*

By our hypothesis there is a sequence of elementary collapses of K to a point $\star$:

$$K = L_n \searrow \dots \searrow L_0 = \{\star\}.$$

The proof of (0) will be done once we show that it holds for each L_m , $m = 0, \dots, n$, in place of K . Obviously, if $m = 0$ then (0) is true. Now assume (0) holds for $m-1 \geq 0$. It suffices to prove it for m . By our assumption (0) holds for L_{m-1} . Hence there exist an embedding $h' : |L_{m-1}| \rightarrow |K_1| \times |K_2|$ as in (0). Since $L_m \searrow L_{m-1}$ is an elementary collapsing, $|L_m|$ is a union of $|L_{m-1}|$ and τ , where τ is either a 1-cell or a 2-cell of L_m . If τ is a 1-cell then $|L_{m-1}| \cap \tau$ is a vertex $u_0 \in L_{m-1}$. Then $h'(u_0) = (v_1, v_2)$, where v_i is a vertex of K_i . If τ is a 2-cell then $|L_{m-1}| \cap \tau$ is an arc A' which is a union of 1-cells of L_{m-1} , so the arc $A = h'(A')$ is a union of 1-cells of $K_1 \sqcup K_2$. One easily sees that in the first case $|L_m|$ embeds in $|K_1| \times |K'_2|$ as in (0), where K'_2 is obtained from K_2 by adding a 1-cell $v_2 v'_2$ standing out of $|K_2|$. In the other case (0) follows from Lemma 2E.2. This ends the proof. $\square$

The final results of this section are devoted to embeddability of cones over polyhedra into products.

Theorem 2E.4. *Let P be a $(k+l+1)$ -dimensional polyhedron, where $k, l \geq 0$. Then there exist polyhedra P' and P'' with $\dim P' = k$ and $\dim P'' = l$ such that the cone over P can be embedded in the product of cones over P' and P'' .*

This theorem is a consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2E.5. *Let P , k and l be as in 2E.4. Then there exist polyhedra P' and P'' with $\dim P' = k$ and $\dim P'' = l$ such that P can be embedded in the join $P' * P''$.*

Proof. Let $P = |K|$, where K is a simplicial complex. Put $P' = |K^{(k)}|$, where $K^{(k)}$ is the k -skeleton of K . Define P'' to be the dual to P' in P , i.e. P'' is the union of all simplices of the barycentric subdivision of K which are disjoint with P' . Then $\dim P' = k$ and $\dim P'' = l$. Observe that P is pl isomorphic to a subpolyhedron of the join $P' * P''$. This follows from the fact that for any simplex $\sigma \in K$ with $\dim \sigma \geq k$ we have $\sigma = \sigma' * \sigma''$, where σ' is the k -skeleton of σ (with respect to the standard simplicial structure on σ) and σ'' is the dual to σ' in σ . $\square$

Lemma 2E.6. *Let P' and P'' be polyhedra. Then the product of cones over P' and P'' is homeomorphic to the cone over the join $P' * P''$.*

Proof. For a polyhedron Q let aQ denote the cone with vertex a and base Q . According to the definition of link (cf. [R-S, p. 2]), Q may be considered as a link of the vertex a in aQ . The conclusion of our lemma is the following special case of a known formula (see [R-S, p. 24, Ex. (3)]) for the link of a vertex in a product of two polyhedra: $\text{lk}((a', a''), a'P' \times a''P'') \approx P' * P''$. So, $a'P' \times a''P'' \approx c(P' * P'')$. $\square$

Corollary 2E.7. *The cone over an n -dimensional polyhedron can be embedded in a product of $n + 1$ copies of an m -od.*

Proof. We prove this result by induction on the dimension n . We start the induction with 0-dimensional polyhedra, in which case the proof is obvious. The inductive step is proven applying Theorem 2E.4 for $k = 0$ and $l = n - 1$. $\square$

Note that neither Lemma 2E.5 nor Corollary 2E.7 (and thus Theorem 2E.4) can be extended to more general case of continua in place of polyhedra. For example the Menger curve can not be embedded in the join of two 0-dimensional compacta. Neither the cone over the Menger curve is embeddable in the product of two cones over 0-dimensional compacta.

2F. On embeddings of 2-dimensional polyhedra into products of two graphes – a solution of Cauty's problem

The main result of this section is Theorem 2F.6 which asserts that there exist a 2-dimensional polyhedron which can be embedded in a product of two curves but is not embeddable in any product of two graphs. This provides a negative solution to a problem of R. Cauty [C]. The construction strongly depends on the following special case of Theorem 2D.6: *Any topological torus in a product of two curves is a product of two circles lying in corresponding coordinate curves.* First we shall establish several auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2F.1. *Let Z_0, Z_1 be two non-degenerate compacta such that $Z_0 \times Z_1$ is a topological cylinder. Then one of Z_i is a circle and the other is an arc.* $\square$

Let us recall that by a θ -curve we mean a union of three arcs having common endpoints and else mutually disjoint. The arcs are called *edges* and the endpoints - *vertices* of the curve. Any θ -curve can be regarded as the space of a regular CW complex with edges as 1-cells and vertices as 0-cells. This complex will be called

associated with the θ -curve. Any θ -curve contains three different circles, each being a union of two different edges.

For any subsets $A_1, \dots, A_n$ of a space X , and $B_1, \dots, B_n$ of a space Y we have

$$(A_1 \times B_1) \cap \dots \cap (A_n \times B_n) = (A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n) \times (B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n).$$

This formula is one of a few elementary tools used in the proofs which follow.

*From this point on, P denotes a fixed θ -curve with vertices a_0, a_1 ,
 K denotes the CW complex associated with P , and
 $S_i, i = 0, 1, 2$, denote the circles in P .*

Lemma 2F.2. *Let $h : P \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ be an embedding. Suppose $h(S_1 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = Q_1 \times R_1$ and $h(S_2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = Q_2 \times R_2$, where Q_1, Q_2 are circles in Y_1 and R_1, R_2 are circles in Y_2 . Then either (1) $Q_1 \cup Q_2$ is a θ -curve and $R_1 = R_2$, or (2) $Q_1 = Q_2$ and $R_1 \cup R_2$ is a θ -curve.*

Proof. The intersection $(S_1 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cap (S_2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = (S_1 \cap S_2) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is a topological cylinder. Hence its image under h is a topological cylinder as well. But $h((S_1 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cap (S_2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)) = h(S_1 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cap h(S_2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \times (R_1 \cap R_2)$. Hence the conclusion readily follows from Lemma 2F.1. $\square$

Lemma 2F.3. *Let $h : P \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow P \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be a homeomorphism. Then for every cell $\sigma \in K$ there exists a cell $\sigma' \in K$ such that $h(\sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1) = \sigma' \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Consequently,*

- (1) $h(\sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1) = \sigma' \times \mathbb{S}^1$;
- (2) for any $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ the projection pr_P maps $h(\sigma \times \{z\})$ onto σ' .

Proof. Since h maps the 2-manifold part $M_2(P \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ onto itself, it permutes the components of this set. Each component has the form $\sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1$, where σ is a 1-cell of K . Hence the assertion follows for 1-cells. Likewise, h maps $P \times \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus M_2(P \times \mathbb{S}^1) = \{a_0, a_1\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ onto itself, hence it permutes the components $\{a_0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\{a_1\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Hence the assertion holds for 0-cells as well. Then (1) holds because $\text{cl}(\sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1) = \sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1$, and (2) holds if σ is a 1-cell because $\sigma \times \{z\}$ connects $\{a_0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\{a_1\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ (for $\sigma \times \{z\} = \{(a_0, z)\} \cup \sigma \times \{z\} \cup \{(a_1, z)\}$), hence its image $h(\sigma \times \{z\})$ connects this circles as well. For 0-cells (2) follows from (1). $\square$

From this point on,

*$h : P \times P \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ denotes an embedding into a product of two curves
with $h(S_1 \times S_1) = Q_1 \times R_1$, $h(S_2 \times S_1) = Q_2 \times R_1$,
where Q_1, Q_2 are circles in Y_1 and R_1 is a circle in Y_2 .*

Lemma 2F.4. *There is a circle R_2 in Y_2 such that $h(S_1 \times S_2) = Q_1 \times R_2$, $h(S_2 \times S_2) = Q_2 \times R_2$. Moreover, $Q_1 \cup Q_2$ and $R_1 \cup R_2$ are θ -curves.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2F.2 that $Q_1 \cup Q_2$ is a θ -curve. Applying Lemma 2F.3 to the homeomorphism $P \times S_1 \rightarrow (Q_1 \cup Q_2) \times R_1$ induced by h we infer that

$pr_{Y_1}(h(S_i \times \{a_0\})) = Q_i$. So $pr_{Y_1}(h(S_i \times S_2)) \supset Q_i$ since $S_i \times \{a_0\} \subset S_i \times S_2$. It follows that $h(S_1 \times S_2) = Q_1 \times R_2$ and $h(S_2 \times S_2) = Q_2 \times R'_2$, where R_2 and R'_2 are circles in Y_2 . It follows from Lemma 2F.2 that $R_2 = R'_2$. Now, apply Lemma 2F.2 to the embedding $S_1 \times P \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ induced by h . Since $h(S_1 \times S_1) = Q_1 \times R_1$ and $h(S_1 \times S_2) = Q_1 \times R_2$, we infer that $R_1 \cup R_2$ is a θ -curve. This ends the proof. $\square$

Keeping the above assumptions, put $P_1 = Q_1 \cup Q_2$ and $P_2 = R_1 \cup R_2$. By Lemma 2F.4 these are θ -curves and $h(P \times P) = P_1 \times P_2$. Let $\{b_0, b_1\}$, $\{c_0, c_1\}$ denote the vertices of P_1, P_2 , respectively. Let K_i , $i = 1, 2$, denote the regular CW complex associated with P_i .

Lemma 2F.5. *There exist homeomorphisms $h_i : P \rightarrow P_i$ such that h transforms each cell $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \in K \square K$ onto $h_1(\sigma_1) \times h_2(\sigma_2) \in K_1 \square K_2$, i.e. $h(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) = h_1(\sigma_1) \times h_2(\sigma_2)$.*

Proof. We define h_1 by the formula: $h_1(x) = pr_{Y_1}(h(x, a_0))$ for each $x \in P$. The mapping h_2 is defined similarly: $h_2(y) = pr_{Y_2}(h(a_0, y))$ for each $y \in P$. It remains to show that these mappings have the desired properties.

They are well defined because h maps $P \times P$ into $P_1 \times P_2$. Next we shall show that h_i maps P homeomorphically onto P_i . First we verify this for h_1 . Applying Lemma 2F.3 to the homeomorphism $P \times S_i \rightarrow P_1 \times R_i$ induced by h , for $i = 1, 2$, we infer that for each $\sigma \in K$ there is $\sigma' \in K_1$ such that $h(\sigma \times S_i) = \sigma' \times R_i$. The assignment $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$ is independent of i because for any $\sigma \in K$ there is only one $\sigma' \in K_1$ such that $h(\sigma \times \{a_0\}) \subset \sigma' \times R_i$. Since $P = S_1 \cup S_2$ we get

$$(1) \quad h(\sigma \times P) = \sigma' \times P_2.$$

Symmetrically, for each $\sigma \in K$ there is $\sigma'' \in K_2$ such that $h(S_i \times \sigma) = Q_i \times \sigma''$. Hence, as above, we get

$$(2) \quad h(P \times \sigma) = P_1 \times \sigma''.$$

In particular, $h(P \times \{a_0\}) = P_1 \times \{c_j\}$ for some $j = 0, 1$. Thus, from the description of h_1 it follows that it is a homeomorphism. Moreover, $h(\sigma \times \{a_0\}) \subset \sigma' \times P_2$. Therefore, $h_1(\sigma) \subset \sigma'$ which implies $h_1(\sigma) = \sigma'$. Likewise, h_2 is a homeomorphism and $h_2(\sigma) = \sigma''$. It follows that $h(P \times \sigma) = P_1 \times h_2(\sigma)$ and $h(\sigma \times P) = h_1(\sigma) \times P_2$.

Finally, combining (1) and (2), we infer that for any cells $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K$ we have $h(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) = h((\sigma_1 \times P) \cap (P \times \sigma_2)) = h_1(\sigma_1) \times h_2(\sigma_2)$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 2F.6. *Let $X = (P \times P) \cup D$, where D is a disc and $A = (P \times P) \cap D$ is an arc. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- (i) $A \subset \partial D$;
- (ii) (a_0, a_0) is an endpoint of A ;
- (iii) $A \setminus \{(a_0, a_0)\}$ lies in the interior of a 2-cell of $K \square K$.

Then X is not embeddable in any product of two graphs though it can be embedded in a product of two curves.

Proof. In order to prove the first assertion suppose there is an embedding $h : X \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ into a product of two graphs. Then, without loss of generality, we can

assume that $h(S_1 \times S_1) = Q_1 \times R_1$ and $h(S_2 \times S_1) = Q_2 \times R_1$, where Q_1, Q_2 are circles in Y_1 and R_1 is a circle in Y_2 . (In fact, otherwise we obtain this assumption by interchanging the position of coordinate spaces, see Lemma 2F.2.) By Lemma 2F.5 there exist embeddings $h_i : P \rightarrow Y_i$ such that $h(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) = h_1(\sigma_1) \times h_2(\sigma_2)$ for each cell $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \in K \sqcup K$. Suppose $A \setminus \{(a_0, a_0)\}$ lies in the interior of the 2-cell $\sigma \times \sigma \in K \sqcup K$. Since Y_j , $j = 1, 2$, is a graph there is a neighborhood U_j of $h_j(a_0)$ in $h_j(\sigma)$ such that $U_j \setminus \partial h_j(\sigma)$ is an open subset of Y_j . Then $U_1 \times U_2$ is a neighborhood of $h(a_0, a_0)$ in $h(\sigma \times \sigma)$. Hence there is a point $x \in A \setminus \{(a_0, a_0)\}$ such that $h(x) \in (U_1 \times U_2) \cap h(A \setminus \{(a_0, a_0)\})$. Since $x \notin \partial(\sigma \times \sigma)$ we get $h(x) \in (U_1 \times U_2) \setminus h(\partial(\sigma \times \sigma)) = (U_1 \setminus \partial h_1(\sigma)) \times (U_2 \setminus \partial h_2(\sigma))$. Since $x \in \text{cl}(D \setminus (P \times P))$ we infer that $h(x) \in \text{cl}(h(D \setminus (P \times P)))$. Since $h(x) \in (U_1 \setminus \partial h_1(\sigma)) \times (U_2 \setminus \partial h_2(\sigma))$ and this set is open in $Y_1 \times Y_2$ there is a point $y \in D \setminus (P \times P)$ such that $h(y) \in (U_1 \setminus \partial h_1(\sigma)) \times (U_2 \setminus \partial h_2(\sigma))$. Hence $h(y) \in h(P \times P)$, a contradiction.

Now we shall show that X can be embedded in the product $Y \times Y$, where Y is a curve (actually, Y will be of order 3 and, but one point, a local tree, see [Kur] for definitions). To construct Y we proceed as follows. First of all, we fix a 1-cell $\sigma \in K$ and pick a monotone sequence $a_2, a_3, \dots$ of different points lying in the interior of σ and converging to a_0 . Define Y to be the union $Y = P \cup a_2b_2 \cup a_3b_3 \cup \dots$, where $a_2b_2, a_3b_3, \dots$ is a null-sequence of mutually disjoint arcs (converging to a_0) such that each a_nb_n meets P only at a_n . Next we define an arc A^* in $\sigma \times \sigma$ and a disc D^* in $Y \times Y$ by the formulas:

$$A^* = \{(a_0, a_0)\} \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 2} (\{a_n\} \times a_na_{n+1} \cup a_na_{n+1} \times \{a_{n+1}\}),$$

$$D^* = \{(a_0, a_0)\} \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 2} [a_nb_n \times (a_nb_n \cup a_na_{n+1} \cup a_{n+1}b_{n+1}) \cup a_na_{n+1} \times a_{n+1}b_{n+1}].$$

Then $D^* \cap (P \times P) = A^*$. Now we are ready to describe the embedding. We do this in three steps.

First, define an embedding $(P \times P) \setminus (\mathring{\sigma} \times \mathring{\sigma}) \rightarrow Y \times Y$ to be the inclusion. Then, using elementary results, one can extend this embedding to an embedding $P \times P \rightarrow Y \times Y$ which maps $\sigma \times \sigma$ onto itself and A onto A^* . The resulting embedding can be further extended to an embedding $X \rightarrow Y \times Y$ which maps D onto D^* . This completes the proof. $\square$

Problems

Problem 2A.1. *Is it possible to characterize a polyhedron $|K|$ which is a quasi n -manifold in terms of the complex K itself?*

Problem 2B.1. *Let X be a locally connected quasi n -manifold, $n \geq 2$, with $H^1(X)$ of finite rank, and let $(f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n$ be an embedding in a product of curves. Is it possible to approximate mappings f_i by mappings $f'_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$ so that $(f'_1, \dots, f'_n)$ is still an embedding and each $f'_i(X)$ is a graph?*

Problem 2D.1. *Characterize quasi n -manifolds embeddable in products of n graphs.*

Problem 2D.2. *Let X be a locally connected pseudo n -manifold lying in a product of n curves. Is X a locally connected quasi n -manifold?*

Problem 2D.3. *Characterize ordinary closed 3-manifolds. Must such a manifold be a product of non-degenerate factors?*

The following problem is of great interest.

Problem 2D.4. *Let X be a locally connected quasi n -manifold lying in a product of n curves. Does X admit an essential map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$?*

Problem 2F.1. *Characterize those 2-dimensional polyhedra which can be embedded into products of two graphs.*

REFERENCES

- [Bi] R. Bing, *Some aspects of the topology of 3-manifolds related to Poincaré conjecture*, Lectures on Modern Mathematics, vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1964, pp. 93–128.
- [Bo1] K. Borsuk, *Über das Phänomen der Unzerlegbarkeit in der Polyedertopologie*, Comment. Math. Helv. **8** (1935), 142–148.
- [Bo2] ———, *On the third symmetric potency of circumference*, Fund. Math. **3** (1949), 236–244.
- [Bo3] ———, *Remarks on the Cartesian product of two 1-dimensional spaces*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math. **23** (1975), 971–973.
- [Bt] R. Bott, *On the third symmetric potency of S_1* , Fund. Math. **39** (1952), 264–268.
- [Br] M. Brown, *A mapping theorem for untriangulated manifolds*, Topology of 3-manifolds, Proceedings of the University of Georgia Institute (1962), Prentice-Hall, 92–94.
- [C] R. Cauty, *Sur le plongement des surfaces non orientables dans un produit de deux graphes*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math. **32** (1984), 121–128.
- [E] R. Engelking, *Dimension Theory*, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers - Warszawa; North-Holland Publishing Company - Amsterdam, Oxford, New York, 1978.
- [K-K-S] A. Koyama, J. Krasinkiewicz, S. Spież, *Embedding compacta into products of curves*, arXiv:0712.3470v1 [math.GT] 20 Dec 2007, 1–71.
- [K-K-S 1] ———, *On embeddings into products of curves - An algebraic approach*, preprint.
- [Kr] J. Krasinkiewicz, *On approximation of mappings into 1-manifolds*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math. **44** (1996), 431–440.
- [Ku] W. Kuperberg, *On embeddings of manifolds into Cartesian products of compacta*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math. **26** (1978), 845–848.
- [Kur] K. Kuratowski, *Topology, vol. II*, PWN-Academic Press, Warsaw-New York, 1968.
- [N1] J. Nagata, *Note on dimension theory for metric spaces*, Fund. Math. **45** (1958), 143–181.
- [N2] ———, *Modern Dimension Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965.
- [R-S] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to Piecewise-Linear Topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [Sp] E. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [Wh] J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **55** (1949), 453–496.
- [Z] E. C. Zeeman, *On the dunce hat*, Topology, **2** (1964), 341–358.

E-mail address: `sakoyam@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp`

THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL.ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-950, WARSAW, POLAND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OPOLE, UL. OLESKA 48, 45-052 OPOLE, POLAND

E-mail address: `jokra@impan.gov.pl`

THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL.ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-950, WARSAW, POLAND

E-mail address: `spiez@impan.gov.pl`